

The Friar From The Canterbury Tales

The Friar From The Canterbury Tales www.everybodystreet.com Downloaded from by Ray & Paola

INTRODUCTION: UNPACKING THE CHARACTER OF THE FRIAR FROM THE CANTERBURY TALES

In the vast and vibrant tapestry of Geoffrey Chaucer's *The Canterbury Tales*, few characters are as memorable, controversial, and richly layered as the Friar. Known in the text simply as Huberd, The Friar from The Canterbury Tales is far more than a simple religious figure on a pilgrimage. He is a complex representation of the corruption, hypocrisy, and human weakness that Chaucer observed in the medieval Church. Through this character, Chaucer offers a biting social commentary that remains relevant centuries later, making the Friar one of the most studied and discussed figures in English literature.

When readers first encounter The Friar from The Canterbury Tales in the General Prologue, they are introduced to a man who appears charming, sociable, and deeply connected to the community. However, as Chaucer peels back the layers of the Friar's personality, it becomes clear that this is a man who has twisted the ideals of his religious vocation to serve his own desires. Understanding this character requires a close reading of his description, his tale, and his interactions with other pilgrims on the journey to Canterbury.

WHO WAS THE FRIAR IN MEDIEVAL SOCIETY?

To fully appreciate Chaucer's portrayal, it is essential to understand what a friar was in the 14th century. Unlike monks, who lived in monasteries and were often cloistered away from the world, friars were mendicants. They took vows of poverty and traveled from town to town, preaching, hearing confessions, and relying on the charity of the people they served. The four main orders of friars—the Franciscans, Dominicans, Carmelites, and Augustinians—were meant to be beacons of humility and service.

In theory, the friar was a living example of Christ-like poverty. In practice, however, many friars had become notorious for their greed, laziness, and willingness to exploit the faithful. By the time Chaucer wrote *The Canterbury Tales*, the reputation of friars had declined significantly. Public sentiment often painted them as gluttons, womanizers, and confidence men who used their religious authority to line their own pockets. Chaucer's Friar is the embodiment of this popular stereotype, and the poet wastes no time in illustrating every flaw.

THE GENERAL PROLOGUE: A PORTRAIT OF HYPOCRISY

Chaucer's description of The Friar from The Canterbury Tales in the General Prologue is a masterpiece of subtle irony and sharp criticism. On the surface, the poet seems to praise the Friar. He tells us the Friar is "wantowne and merye," a man who is well-liked by the people, especially by the wealthy and the women of the town. But beneath this cheerful exterior lies a deeply cynical portrait of a man who has abandoned his sacred duties.

A Friar Who Prefers the

Rich

One of the first things Chaucer reveals is that the Friar avoids the poor and the sick. Instead of ministering to lepers and beggars—the very people Christ commanded his followers to serve—the Friar seeks out the company of wealthy landowners and prosperous innkeepers. He has no interest in those who cannot pay for his services. As Chaucer writes, it is not right for a man of his status to associate with "lazar" lepers, for such company is neither "courteous" nor profitable. This is a damning indictment of a man who has twisted the meaning of charity into self-service.

Master of Confession and Penance

The Friar from The Canterbury Tales is also portrayed as a skilled confessor, but not for spiritual reasons. He knows that hearing confessions is a lucrative business. He offers easy penance in exchange for generous donations. If a man gives money to the friars, his sins are quickly forgiven. This practice, known as "selling absolution," was one of the most criticized abuses of the medieval Church, and Chaucer highlights it with devastating precision. The Friar is not interested in the spiritual health of his flock; he is interested in their silver.

He Knows the Taverns Better Than the Hospitals

Chaucer notes that the Friar is an expert on taverns and inns. He knows every barkeep and barmaid in the region, and he is always welcome wherever ale is served. This is a stark contrast to what a friar should be doing—visiting the sick, comforting the dying, and preaching the Gospel. The Friar from The Canterbury Tales is a man of the world, comfortable with the vices he is supposed to condemn. His red face and love of good food and drink mark him as a glutton and a sensualist.

THE PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION: READING THE BODY OF THE FRIAR

Chaucer's physical description of the Friar is equally telling. The Friar from The Canterbury Tales is described as having a "neck white as the fleur-de-lis." In medieval physiognomy, a white, soft neck was a sign of effeminacy and indulgence. He is also described as being "strong" and "well-armed," suggesting a man who is more comfortable with physical pleasures than with spiritual discipline. His eyes twinkle, and he has a lisp that he likely affects to make his speech seem more pleasant and persuasive.

Perhaps the most striking detail is the Friar's clothing. Unlike the humble, coarse robes that a mendicant friar should wear, this Friar wears a "semi-cope" of the finest cloth. He carries a "tippet" stuffed with knives and pins—trinkets he uses to charm the women he meets. Instead of a rosary, he carries a "pair of knives" and a "gay dagger." Every element of his appearance screams

wealth, vanity, and worldly attachment. The Friar from *The Canterbury Tales* is dressed not like a servant of God, but like a merchant or a minor nobleman.

THE FRIAR'S TALE: A STORY OF GREED AND DAMNATION

The story that The Friar from *The Canterbury Tales* tells is a dark and cynical fable about greed, corruption, and the misuse of spiritual power. In the *Friar's Tale*, a summoner—a church official who summons people to ecclesiastical court—is portrayed as a corrupt, greedy, and ultimately damned figure. The summoner in the tale meets a demon disguised as a yeoman, and the two travel together, both seeking to exploit the weaknesses of others. The irony, of course, is that the Friar is condemning a summoner, but his own sins are far worse. The Friar has made a living by exploiting the faithful, yet he has the audacity to tell a story about the corruption of another church official. This is classic Chaucer: the teller of the tale reveals as much about himself as he does about his subject. The *Friar's Tale* is a projection of his own guilt and a reflection of his own corrupt worldview.

The Moral of the Tale

The tale ends with the summoner being dragged to hell by the demon. It is a stark warning about the consequences of greed and abuse of authority. But the reader cannot help but wonder if the Friar recognizes the warning applies to him as well. The Friar from *The Canterbury Tales* is quick to judge others but slow to examine his own conscience. This blindness to his own hypocrisy is one of the most enduring aspects of his character.

THE FRIAR'S RIVALRY WITH THE SUMMONER

One of the most entertaining dynamics in *The Canterbury Tales* is the bitter rivalry between The Friar from *The Canterbury Tales* and the Summoner. These two characters despise each other, and their personal animosity erupts into open conflict during the pilgrimage. The Friar tells his tale specifically to humiliate the Summoner, and the Summoner responds with an equally insulting tale about a lecherous and corrupt friar.

This rivalry is not just personal; it reflects a real historical tension between these two groups within the medieval Church. Friars and summoners competed for the same sources of income—hearing confessions, collecting fees, and exercising spiritual authority. Chaucer captures this professional jealousy with sharp humor and realistic dialogue. The Summoner is so angry after the Friar's tale that he "quakes like an aspen leaf" and must be restrained by the Host. It is a moment of raw, unfiltered emotion that brings the pilgrimage to life.

CHAUCER'S SATIRE OF THE MEDIEVAL CHURCH

The Friar from *The Canterbury Tales* is one of Chaucer's most powerful tools for satirizing the institutional Church of the 14th century. Through the Friar, Chaucer attacks the corruption that had seeped into every level of the clergy. The Friar represents the mendicant orders that had, in the popular imagination, abandoned their vows of poverty and humility in favor of wealth, pleasure, and influence.

Chaucer was not a revolutionary. He was not calling for the destruction of the Church. But he was a keen observer of human nature, and he saw that the ideals of the Church were often betrayed by the people who claimed to serve it. The Friar from *The Canterbury Tales* is not a villain in the modern sense; he is a deeply human character, flawed and self-deceived. He believes

his own lies, and that makes him all the more dangerous.

COMPARING THE FRIAR TO OTHER RELIGIOUS FIGURES IN THE TALES

To fully understand the Friar, it is helpful to compare him to other religious characters in *The Canterbury Tales*. The Parson, for example, is the ideal of what a clergyman should be. He is poor, humble, and dedicated to his flock. He preaches the Gospel and lives what he preaches. The Friar from *The Canterbury Tales* is the Parson's opposite. Where the Parson serves the poor, the Friar exploits them. Where the Parson is sincere, the Friar is manipulative.

The Monk is another interesting comparison. Like the Friar, the Monk is a religious figure who has abandoned his vows. But the Monk's sins are different—he loves hunting, fine food, and luxurious clothing. The Friar's sins are more social and transactional. He uses his position to build relationships that benefit him financially and socially. Both are corrupt, but the Friar's corruption is more insidious because it involves the direct exploitation of people's faith.

THE LEGACY AND MODERN RELEVANCE OF THE FRIAR

The Friar from *The Canterbury Tales* remains a relevant figure in modern discussions about religious hypocrisy, institutional corruption, and the abuse of spiritual authority. In an age when televangelists and prosperity gospel preachers are often exposed for their greed and misconduct, Chaucer's Friar feels disturbingly contemporary. He is a reminder that the temptation to use religion for personal gain is a timeless human failing.

Literary scholars continue to debate the nuances of the Friar's character. Some see him as a straightforward satire of a corrupt friar. Others argue that Chaucer's portrayal is more ambiguous—that the Friar is not simply evil but is a product of a system that encouraged and rewarded hypocrisy. There is even evidence that Chaucer had a specific historical friar in mind when he wrote the character, though no definitive identification has been made.

THE FRIAR'S PLACE IN THE PILGRIMAGE COMMUNITY

Within the social microcosm of the pilgrimage, The Friar from *The Canterbury Tales* plays a specific role. He is a connector, a man who knows everyone and is welcomed everywhere. He smooths social interactions and mediates conflicts—at least when it suits his purposes. But his presence also creates tension, especially with the Summoner and with other characters who see through his charm.

The Host, Harry Bailey, treats the Friar with a mixture of respect and wariness. He knows the Friar is a man of influence, but he also knows that the Friar is not to be fully trusted. This dynamic mirrors the real-world relationship between the laity and the clergy in Chaucer's time. People needed the clergy for spiritual services, but they were often skeptical of their motives and behaviors.

CONCLUSION: THE ENDURING POWER OF CHAUCER'S FRIAR

The Friar from *The Canterbury Tales* is one of Geoffrey Chaucer's most vivid and enduring creations. He is a character who embodies the gap between religious ideals and human reality. Through the Friar, Chaucer explores themes of hypocrisy, greed, corruption, and the misuse of authority—themes that resonate as strongly today as they did in the 14th century.

The Friar is not a one-dimensional villain. He is a complex,

charming, and deeply flawed human being. He has moments of wit and intelligence, and he tells a