
What Is Realism In Philosophy

*What Is Realism In
Philosophy*

*Downloaded from
www.everybodystreet.com
by Madelynn & Bridget*

INTRODUCTION: UNPACKING THE CORE QUESTION - WHAT IS REALISM IN PHILOSOPHY?

At its heart, philosophy asks some of the most profound questions about existence, knowledge, and reality. Among these, few debates are as foundational or as persistent as the one surrounding **realism**. When someone asks, **What Is Realism In Philosophy**, they are touching upon a stance that has

shaped Western thought for over two millennia. In the simplest terms, realism is the philosophical doctrine that objects, properties, and facts exist independently of our perception, thoughts, or language. A mountain exists whether anyone is looking at it; a scientific law holds true even before it was discovered; moral facts may be real regardless of human agreement.

However, this deceptively simple definition unravels into a rich tapestry of positions, from the reality of abstract universals to the independence of the

physical world. Understanding **realism in philosophy** is crucial not only for students of metaphysics but also for anyone trying to make sense of debates in science, ethics, and everyday life. This article will explore the different dimensions of realism, its historical roots, its key arguments, and the major objections it faces, all while keeping the concept accessible and engaging.

HISTORICAL ORIGINS: FROM PLATO TO THE MODERN ERA

The origins of realism are often traced back to classical Greek philosophy, particularly to the work of **Plato**. Plato's theory of Forms posits that abstract entities—like Justice, Beauty, or the number 2—exist in a non-physical, eternal realm. This is a form of

metaphysical realism about universals. For Plato, the physical objects we see are mere imperfect copies of these perfect, real Forms.

Later, **Aristotle** offered a different kind of realism. He argued that universals do not exist in a separate realm but are immanent in particular things. The "humanity" of a person is real, but it exists only as an inherent property of that individual. This debate between **Platonic realism** and **Aristotelian realism** set the stage for centuries of discussion.

During the medieval period, the problem of universals became a central issue. Thinkers like **Thomas Aquinas** defended a moderate realism (influenced by Aristotle), while others like **William of Ockham** argued for

nominalism—the view that universals are merely names or mental constructs. The Renaissance and the Scientific Revolution revived interest in realism about the physical world. Figures like **Galileo** and **Newton** assumed that the world had its own structure, independent of human observers. This set the stage for modern debates between **scientific realism** and various forms of **anti-realism**.

CORE TENETS OF PHILOSOPHICAL REALISM

While realism comes in many varieties, several core beliefs unite them. Understanding these pillars helps answer the question **What Is Realism In Philosophy** with greater precision:

- **Mind-Independence:** The most fundamental tenet. Realists hold that the existence and nature of the entities under discussion do not depend on being perceived, thought about, or conceptualized by any mind. This is often contrasted with **idealism**, which claims reality is fundamentally mental.
- **Truth as Correspondence:** Many realists adopt a correspondence theory of truth: a proposition is true if it accurately describes the way the world is, independent of our beliefs. This opposes **coherence theories** or **pragmatist** accounts that tie truth to human practices.
- **Objectivity:** Realists believe that

there are objective facts that hold regardless of personal opinion or cultural consensus. This makes realism a strong ally of science and rational inquiry.

- **Fallibilism:** Most realists accept that our knowledge of reality is partial, tentative, and subject to revision. Realism does not imply infallibility; it simply asserts that there is a reality we are trying to get right.

MAJOR TYPES OF REALISM

Realism is not a single doctrine but a family of positions. To fully grasp **what realism in philosophy** entails, we must examine its main varieties.

Metaphysical Realism

This is the broadest form, often referred to as **ontological realism**. It claims that the world exists independently of our conceptual schemes. Metaphysical realists argue that there is a single, objective way the world is, regardless of how we categorize it. Key proponents include **G.E. Moore** and **Bertrand Russell** in the early 20th century, who fought against the idealism of Hegel and Bradley. A common argument for metaphysical realism relies on the **naturalistic intuition**: science describes a world that was here long before humans and will remain after us.

Epistemological Realism

This position concerns knowledge. **Epistemological realism** holds that we can acquire knowledge about the mind-independent world. It rejects skepticism and argues that perception, reason, and scientific methods can give us (at least approximate) access to reality. **John Locke's** representationalism is an example: we have ideas that represent external objects. However, this view must confront the problem that our sensory apparatus might distort reality—a challenge that led to various forms of **indirect realism**.

Scientific Realism

One of the most vibrant debates in contemporary philosophy of science. **Scientific realism** claims that the unobservable entities posited by our best scientific theories—such as electrons, genes, or black holes—exist and are (approximately) true. Proponents like **Hilary Putnam** (in his earlier work), **Richard Boyd**, and **Stathis Psillos** argue that the success of science is best explained by the truth of its theories. The famous **no-miracles argument** states that if theories were not at least approximately true, their predictive success would be a miracle.

Opponents, such as **Bas van Fraassen** (constructive empiricism), argue that we only need to accept that theories are empirically adequate, not necessarily true descriptions of an invisible world.

cognitivism (which says moral statements express emotions, not facts) and **error theory** (which says moral statements are all false because there are no such facts).

Moral Realism

Does morality have an objective foundation? **Moral realism** says yes. It asserts that there are moral facts that are true independently of our beliefs or attitudes. For example, "murder is wrong" is as objective as "water is H₂O." Thinkers like **David Brink** and **Russ Shafer-Landau** defend this view, often linking it to natural properties (e.g., well-being) or non-natural properties (as **G.E. Moore** argued). Moral realism faces significant challenges from **non-**

Realism About Universals

Returning to the medieval problem, **realism about universals** holds that properties (like redness) and relations (like being taller than) are real entities. This opposes nominalism, which claims only particular things exist. A **robust realist** like Plato says universals exist apart from particulars. A **moderate realist** like Aristotle says they exist "in" particulars. In contemporary

metaphysics, **David Armstrong** defended a form of realism about universals as part of a scientific worldview.

ARGUMENTS FOR REALISM

Advocates for realism offer several compelling reasons why we should accept some version of **realism in philosophy**:

1. **The Argument from Causal Independence:** We experience a world that pushes back on us. We cannot simply wish away a rock or a physical law. The most straightforward explanation is that these things exist independently.
2. **The Argument from Scientific Success:** The startling accuracy of

predictions in physics, chemistry, and biology is hard to explain if our theories do not track a real, mind-independent world.

3. **The Argument from Public Convergence:** People from different cultures and time periods converge on similar descriptions of the world (e.g., the laws of motion). This convergence is best explained by a common reality we are all investigating.
 4. **The Argument from Objectivity:** If reality is mind-dependent, it becomes difficult to explain error, disagreement, or the sense that some claims are simply false regardless of our feelings.
-

ALTERNATIVES

Realism has been challenged from several angles. Understanding these critiques provides a balanced view of **what realism in philosophy** involves.

Idealism

The classic opponent is **idealism**, which argues that reality is fundamentally mental or consists of ideas. **Berkeley's** subjective idealism claims that "to be is to be perceived." **Hegel's** absolute idealism sees the world as an expression of Spirit. While idealism has few adherents today, it forced realists to clarify their positions.

Kantian Transcendental Idealism

Kant famously argued that we can never know things as they are in themselves (*noumena*); we only experience phenomena shaped by our mental categories. This is a form of **anti-realism** about the ultimate nature of reality, though Kant was a realist about empirical objects. His legacy lives on in **constructivism** and **internal realism** (Putnam's later view).

Skepticism

Skeptics doubt whether we can ever justify our belief in a mind-independent world. The brain-in-a-vat thought experiment challenges our confidence. Realists often respond by appealing to explanatory coherence and pragmatic grounds, but skepticism remains a persistent thorn.

Pragmatism and Relativism

Pragmatists like William James and **Richard Rorty** argue that the concept of truth as correspondence to an independent reality is unhelpful. Instead,

they propose that true beliefs are those that work or are useful. Rorty's **neopragmatism** leads to a form of **anti-realism** where truth is made rather than found. Similarly, **relativism** denies that there is one objective way the world is, claiming that different conceptual schemes generate different realities.

REALISM IN CONTEMPORARY PHILOSOPHY

Today, the debate continues in multiple fields. In metaphysics, **new realism** (e.g., **Maurizio Ferraris**) has emerged to combat postmodern constructivism. In philosophy of physics, realism about quantum mechanics is hotly contested, with some interpretations (Bohman mechanics) being strongly realist and others (Copenhagen, quantum

Bayesianism) being more instrumentalist. In ethics, the rise of **metaethical naturalism** keeps moral realism alive, while in aesthetics, realism about fictional characters and artworks is debated.

Moreover, realism intersects with **ordinary language philosophy** and **common sense realism**—the idea that we should trust our everyday experiences unless given strong reasons to doubt. **Reid's** Scottish common sense philosophy is a historical example, and it influences recent defenses of **naïve realism** in perception.

CONCLUSION: WHY REALISM MATTERS

So, **What Is Realism In Philosophy?**

At its core, it is the affirmation that reality is not a product of our minds, but an independent domain we can discover and describe. This stance underpins much of our scientific enterprise, our ethical convictions, and our everyday trust in the stability of the world. While it faces coherent alternatives—idealism, constructivism, skepticism, pragmatism—realism remains the default position for many philosophers because it respects our deepest intuitions about objectivity and truth.

Understanding realism helps us navigate intellectual debates with sharper tools. It reminds us that not every question is a matter of perspective, and that some truths are discovered, not invented. Whether you ultimately align with realism, anti-re