
The Shame Of The Cities Lincoln Steffens

*The Shame Of The
Cities Lincoln Steffens*

*Downloaded from
www.everybodystreet.com
by Moriah & Mckenzie*

INTRODUCTION: THE BOOK THAT EXPOSED AMERICA'S POLITICAL SOUL

In 1904, a relatively unknown journalist named Lincoln Steffens published a collection of articles that would forever change the way Americans viewed their cities, their politicians, and themselves. The book was **The Shame Of The**

Cities, and it landed like a thunderclap in the consciousness of a nation still clinging to the comforting myth that corruption was an isolated problem confined to a few bad actors. Steffens, through meticulous reporting and unflinching honesty, revealed something far more unsettling: corruption was not the exception but the rule, woven into the very fabric of urban governance across the United States.

What made **The Shame Of The Cities**

by Lincoln Steffens so revolutionary was not simply its exposure of bribery and graft, but its insistence that the problem was systemic. Steffens argued that business interests, not politicians, were the true power behind municipal corruption. The politicians were merely the front men; the real culprits were the "respectable" businessmen who funded campaigns, bribed officials, and profited from public contracts. This article offers a comprehensive exploration of Steffens' masterpiece, its historical context, the cities it examined, and its enduring relevance for anyone seeking to understand the relationship between money, power, and democratic governance.

WHO WAS LINCOLN STEFFENS?

From Reporter to Muckraker

Before he became synonymous with investigative journalism, Lincoln Steffens was a young man searching for purpose. Born in San Francisco in 1866, he studied at the University of California, Berkeley, and later in Europe, where he immersed himself in philosophy and psychology. When he returned to the United States, he took a job as a reporter for the New York Evening Post, eventually moving to McClure's Magazine, the premier publication of the muckraking era.

At McClure's, Steffens found his voice—and his mission. He began investigating corruption in American cities, and his findings were explosive. His articles, later compiled into **The Shame Of The Cities**, became the foundation of a new kind of journalism: one that held power accountable not merely through opinion but through verifiable, on-the-ground reporting. Steffens did not just name names; he traced the connections between city halls, state legislatures, and corporate boardrooms, showing how each enabled the others.

The Muckraking

Movement

Steffens was part of a broader movement that included writers like Ida Tarbell, who exposed the predatory practices of Standard Oil, and Upton Sinclair, whose novel *The Jungle* revealed the horrors of the meatpacking industry. President Theodore Roosevelt, while acknowledging the value of their work, famously compared them to the "Man with the Muckrake" from John Bunyan's *Pilgrim's Progress*—a figure so focused on raking filth that he could not see the celestial crown above him. The term "muckraker" stuck, but Steffens wore it as a badge of honor. He believed that exposing rot was the first step toward reform.

THE CORE PREMISE OF THE SHAME OF THE CITIES

Corruption as a System, Not a Scandal

The central thesis of **The Shame Of The Cities** Lincoln Steffens articulated with surgical precision is that corruption was not a series of isolated incidents but a coherent system. Steffens argued that the machine politics of the era were not aberrations; they were the natural outcome of a system in which business interests sought to control government for private gain. The politicians, from

aldermen to mayors, were not the instigators but the instruments of this system.

Steffens wrote with a tone that was less moralizing than analytical. He did not simply condemn the corrupt; he tried to understand why corruption flourished. His conclusion was uncomfortable for many readers: the people themselves were complicit. Voters tolerated graft because they benefited from it—through jobs, contracts, or simply the convenience of having a government that "got things done." The shame, Steffens insisted, belonged not only to the corrupt officials but to the citizens who allowed it.

The Role of "Respectable" Business

One of the most striking aspects of **The Shame Of The Cities** is Steffens' refusal to let the business community off the hook. In city after city, he found that the same "leading citizens" who decried corruption in public were secretly funding the very machines they claimed to oppose. They paid bribes to secure favorable contracts, evade taxes, or avoid regulation. Steffens called them "the better element"—a term he used with heavy irony—and argued that their hypocrisy was the foundation upon

which the entire edifice of corruption was built.

THE CITIES STEFFENS INVESTIGATED

Steffens' original articles examined several major American cities, each chosen for its distinctive pattern of corruption. Let us explore the key cities featured in **The Shame Of The Cities Lincoln Steffens** and what he found there.

St. Louis: The Boodle of the

Bosses

Steffens began his investigation in St. Louis, Missouri, where he found a municipal government so thoroughly corrupt that it had virtually privatized public power. The city council, known as the "Municipal Assembly," was openly for sale. Steffens documented how bribery was conducted with a routine that resembled a business transaction. The "boodle" system—a term for the illicit funds used to bribe officials—was so entrenched that prices for votes were almost standardized.

What shocked Steffens most was the public's indifference. When he published his findings, the citizens of St. Louis did not rise up in outrage. Many shrugged.

They knew the system was corrupt; they simply did not believe it could be changed. This apathy, Steffens argued, was the true tragedy of urban America.

Philadelphia: Corrupt and Contented

Philadelphia presented a different, perhaps even more disturbing, picture. In St. Louis, there was at least a semblance of conflict between reformers and the machine. In Philadelphia, corruption had achieved a kind of stable equilibrium. The city was governed by a Republican machine so efficient that it

faced no serious opposition. The people, Steffens wrote, were "corrupt and contented"—a phrase that became one of his most famous epigrams.

Philadelphia's corruption was bipartisan in the worst sense: both parties were complicit. The machine controlled elections, appointed officials, and distributed patronage with a smoothness that made reform seem not just difficult but irrelevant. Steffens' reporting in Philadelphia was a masterclass in showing how institutionalized corruption can become invisible, simply because it works.

Chicago: The Interplay of Vice and Politics

In Chicago, Steffens found a different flavor of corruption. The city's government was not merely for sale; it was intertwined with organized crime, gambling, and prostitution. The machine of Mayor "Bathhouse John" Coughlin and Michael "Hinky Dink" Kenna was built on the vice economy. Saloons, brothels, and gambling dens paid protection money to the police, who in turn paid off the politicians. The system was so deeply embedded that it was difficult to tell where legitimate politics ended and

organized crime began.

Steffens' analysis of Chicago was particularly nuanced. He recognized that the machine provided services—jobs, welfare, and a kind of rough justice—to immigrant communities that the formal institutions of government ignored. This did not excuse the corruption, but it explained why so many ordinary people tolerated it. They were not victims of the system; they were clients.

New York City: The Tammany

Tiger

No discussion of municipal corruption in the Gilded Age would be complete without Tammany Hall, the Democratic political machine that dominated New York City politics for decades. Steffens' investigation of New York built on the earlier work of reformers like Jacob Riis and Reverend Charles Parkhurst. But Steffens added something new: a detailed account of how Tammany worked in conjunction with wealthy business interests, including the utilities and streetcar companies.

In New York, Steffens found that the line between public service and private profit had essentially disappeared. The city's government operated as a clearinghouse

for favors, with Tammany acting as the broker. Steffens' reporting demonstrated that the corruption of New York was not a failure of the system; it was the system operating exactly as designed.

THE LITERARY AND JOURNALISTIC QUALITIES OF THE BOOK

Style and Structure

The Shame Of The Cities by Lincoln Steffens is not merely a work of investigative journalism; it is a work of literature. Steffens wrote with a clarity and directness that made complex political arrangements accessible to the average reader. He used narrative,

dialogue, and character sketches to bring his subjects to life. The corrupt aldermen, the compromised reformers, the cynical businessmen—they all appear as fully realized figures, not merely as types.

Steffens also had a gift for the memorable phrase. His epigrams—"corrupt and contented," "the shame of the cities," "the system"—resonated with readers because they captured complex realities in simple terms. This linguistic precision is one reason the book has endured for over a century.

The Method of Reporting

Steffens pioneered a method of investigative journalism that is now standard but was revolutionary in his time. He did not rely on anonymous sources or secondhand accounts. He went to the scene, interviewed participants, and examined documents. He cross-referenced his sources and insisted on verifiable facts. His articles were not editorial rants but meticulously constructed arguments based on evidence.

Equally important, Steffens understood the psychology of his subjects. He was not content to expose wrongdoing; he

wanted to understand why people did what they did. This psychological depth gives **The Shame Of The Cities** a complexity that many modern exposés lack. Steffens was as interested in the motivations of the corrupt as in the acts of corruption itself.

THE IMPACT AND LEGACY OF THE SHAME OF THE CITIES

Immediate Political Reforms

The publication of **The Shame Of The Cities** had an immediate impact. In several of the cities Steffens investigated, reform movements gathered strength. In St. Louis, Joseph

Folk, a progressive prosecutor, used Steffens' findings to pursue corruption cases. In other cities, citizens formed "good government" groups, demanding civil service reform, stricter oversight of municipal contracts, and the breakup of political machines.

The book also contributed to the broader Progressive Era reform movement, which sought to curb the power of monopolies, regulate industry, and democratize political institutions. Reforms such as the direct election of senators, the initiative and referendum process, and the establishment of nonpartisan city commissions can trace their intellectual lineage, in part, to the arguments Steffens advanced.

Influence on Journalism

Perhaps the most enduring legacy of **The Shame Of The Cities Lincoln Steffens** is its impact on journalism itself. Steffens demonstrated that reporting could be a force for social change—not merely by expressing opinions but by uncovering facts. His work inspired generations of investigative journalists, from the Watergate reporters of the 1970s to the data journalists of today who use algorithms to trace the flow of money in politics.

The book also established a model for long-form investigative reporting that

remains influential. Steffens showed that complex stories require space and depth. His articles were not news briefs but extended inquiries, each building a case brick by brick. This approach set a standard that serious journalism still aspires to meet.

Relevance to Contemporary Politics

It would be a mistake to view **The Shame Of The Cities** as merely a historical document. The patterns Steffens identified—the influence of money on politics, the complicity of the

business community, the apathy of the electorate—are as relevant today as they were in 1904. Contemporary concerns about campaign finance, lobbying, and the revolving door between government and industry echo Steffens' central arguments.

Modern investigations into municipal corruption, such as those in cities like Detroit, Chicago, and Los Angeles, often uncover dynamics that Steffens would recognize immediately. The names and technologies have changed, but the fundamental relationship between power, money, and governance remains remarkably constant. This is perhaps the most sobering lesson of **The Shame Of The Cities**: the problems Steffens diagnosed are not confined to a particular era but are intrinsic to the

structure of urban politics in a capitalist democracy.

CRITICISMS AND LIMITATIONS

No work is beyond critique, and **The Shame Of The Cities Lincoln Steff**